Common Sampling Errors That Affect Metallurgical Accuracy

Understanding the Hidden Sources of Error in Mineral Processing Operations

Metallurgical accounting is only as accurate as the samples used to generate the data.

Modern mineral processing plants rely heavily on sampling data to evaluate recovery, reconcile production, monitor plant performance and support operational decision-making. Yet many operations unknowingly introduce errors into their sampling systems that can significantly impact the accuracy of their metallurgical reporting.

The consequences of poor sampling extend far beyond the laboratory. Sampling errors can lead to incorrect grade calculations, misleading recovery figures, reconciliation discrepancies and ultimately poor business decisions.

Understanding the most common sampling errors is the first step towards improving metallurgical accuracy and operational confidence.

Why Sampling Accuracy Matters

Every assay result begins with a sample.

If the sample does not accurately represent the material being processed, even the most sophisticated laboratory analysis cannot produce reliable results.

Representative sampling is essential for:

- Metallurgical accounting
- Recovery calculations
- Production reporting
- Reconciliation
- Process optimisation
- Operational decision-making

Poor sampling introduces uncertainty into every downstream calculation.

Error 1: Non-Representative Sample Collection

The most common sampling error occurs when only part of the ore stream is collected.

Examples include:

- Partial stream interception
- Manual grab samples
- Inconsistent sampling practices
- Improper cutter geometry

A sample must represent the entire ore stream, not just a portion of it.

Sampling only the surface, centre or edge of a material stream can create significant bias.

Consequences

- Incorrect grade reporting
- Poor reconciliation
- Misleading recovery calculations
- Reduced confidence in metallurgical data

Error 2: Incorrect Cutter Design

The cutter is the heart of any mechanical sampling system.

Poor cutter design can introduce systematic bias regardless of sampling frequency or laboratory accuracy.

Common issues include:

- Incorrect cutter opening
- Improper cutter angle
- Cutter velocity that is too fast or too slow
- Failure to fully traverse the material stream

A properly designed cross-belt sampler must collect a complete cross-section of the material stream during every sampling cycle.

Consequences

- Biased sample collection
- Consistent grade errors
- Long-term metallurgical discrepancies

Error 3: Sample Loss During Collection

Even when the initial sample is collected correctly, errors can occur during transfer and handling.

Common causes include:

- Spillage
- Chute blockages
- Material build-up
- Sample contamination
- Dust losses

Every stage of the sample path must preserve sample integrity.

Consequences

- Loss of fines
- Sample contamination
- Unrepresentative sample composition

Error 4: Inadequate Sampling Frequency

Ore streams naturally vary over time.

Sampling too infrequently can fail to capture this variability.

Factors influencing sampling frequency include:

- Ore type
- Throughput rate
- Process variability
- Production requirements

A sampling strategy should be designed to accurately reflect the variability of the process stream.

Consequences

- Poor trend analysis
- Unreliable plant performance data
- Inaccurate reconciliation

Error 5: Poor Equipment Maintenance

Even the best-designed sampling system will eventually produce inaccurate results if it is not maintained.

Common maintenance issues include:

- Worn cutter lips
- Misaligned cutter mechanisms
- Damaged sample chutes
- Failed sensors
- Mechanical wear

Sampling systems should be routinely inspected and maintained to ensure continued performance.

Consequences

- Progressive sampling bias
- Equipment failures
- Reduced reliability

Error 6: Human Intervention

Manual intervention remains a significant source of sampling error.

Examples include:

- Manual sample collection
- Operator-selected samples

- Inconsistent procedures
- Unrecorded process changes

Automated sampling systems help reduce variability by ensuring samples are collected consistently and objectively.

Consequences

- Operator bias
- Reduced repeatability
- Inconsistent reporting

Error 7: Failure to Audit Sampling Systems

Many operations regularly audit laboratory performance but rarely audit their sampling systems.

Sampling audits help identify:

- Mechanical issues
- Design deficiencies
- Operational problems
- Compliance gaps

Routine audits ensure that sampling systems continue to perform as intended throughout their service life.

Consequences

- Undetected sampling bias
- Long-term reporting inaccuracies
- Reduced confidence in metallurgical accounting

Best Practices for Improving Sampling Accuracy

Operations seeking to improve metallurgical accuracy should focus on:

✓ Representative sample collection

✓ Proper cutter design

✓ Automated sampling where practical

✓ Routine equipment inspections

✓ Scheduled maintenance programmes

✓ Sampling system audits

✓ Continuous operator training

A well-designed and properly maintained sampling system provides the foundation for reliable metallurgical accounting.

The Role of Go-Belt® Sampling Systems

Go-Belt® Sampling Systems are designed to collect representative samples from conveyor systems while maintaining sample integrity throughout the collection process.

By combining robust mechanical design, reliable operation and maintainable components, Go-Belt® systems help mining operations improve:

- Metallurgical accounting accuracy
- Plant reconciliation
- Recovery reporting
- Process optimisation
- Operational confidence

For more than 35 years, T.K.O Engineering has supported mining operations across gold, platinum, copper and coal sectors through the design, manufacture, installation and maintenance of Go-Belt® Sampling Systems.

Conclusion

Sampling errors often remain hidden until they begin affecting recovery calculations, reconciliation results and operational performance.

By understanding the most common sources of sampling error and implementing appropriate engineering controls, mining operations can significantly improve the quality of their metallurgical data and the confidence they place in it.

Accurate metallurgical accounting begins with accurate sampling.

Go-Belt® Sampling Specialists

Engineered to Outperform

www.tkoengineering.com